THE GREAT COMET OF 1882.—In No. 2521 of the *Astronomische Nachrichten* is an elliptical orbit of this comet by Mr. John Tatlock, jun., of Williamstown, Mass., with a period of 1376 years, which, as Prof. Krueger remarks in a note, differs materially from the results of Kreutz, Frisby, and Fabritius. It may be added that the new calculation can have little weight, being founded upon normals for October 8, November 24, and January 29, so that at the date of the first normal the comet was already far past the perihelion, and in fact during the whole interval only described a heliocentric arc of about 5° 10′. Dr. Kreutz has shown the possibility of closely representing by the same orbit the anteperihelion observations and those made subsequently to perihelion passage, though there may be need of much more minute discussion before it can be safely assumed that there was absolutely no appreciable effect from the comet's passage through the solar coronal region.

GEOGRAPHICAL NOTES

Science announces that Lieut. Schwatka, accompanied by Assistant-Surgeon Wilson, C. A. Homan, U.S. Engineer Corps, and three private soldiers, left for Chilkat, Alaska, May 22, from Portland, Or., on the steamer *Victoria*. They are provisioned for a six months' cruise, will employ Indians for packers, &c., and intend to ascend the Chilkat River to its head, make the passage to the head waters of the Lewis River, and descend the same to its junction with the Yukon, and descend the Yukon River to its mouth. It is said to be their intention to survey the course of these rivers; and there is no doubt that a properly qualified and equipped party would find abundance of useful work ready to their hands. The whole route has been travelled before, but not by persons in search of and qualified to obtain geographical information, except in very small part. The explorations of the Krause brothers on the Chilkat and vicinity have been alluded to before. The Yukon has been superficially examined by McMurray, Ketchum, Zagoskin, Dall, Whymper, Raymond, Nelson, and others, and a few points have been astronomically determined; but nothing like an exact map has been attempted, nor do the data for it exist. Astronomical and magnetic observations anywhere along its banks, and especially any data for a map of the Lewis River and its feeders (which are only known from the reports of prospectors and natives), would be of the highest interest.

AT last news has again been received by Dr. Schweinfurth from the well-known African explorer, Dr. W. Junker. He was still in the Nyam Nyam country, and his last news was dated October 16, 1882, from the residence of a chief named Semio some days' journey south of the Mosio district of the present maps. Dr. Junker, who has travelled through vast districts hitherto unexplored, will now soon return home. The last time he had spent principally in various excursions, during which he repeatedly crossed the Uëlle River to the south, and also the third degree north latitude, leaving his provisions in the care of his companion, Herr Bohndorff. On September 27 he again joined the latter after an absence of eighteen months, but found him so poorly that he had to send him home with the collections made up to that time. Bohndorff started with thirty-two porters, who carried the natural history and ethnographical collections. Of special interest for geographers was an excursion of Dr. Junker's, which he made south of the former Munsa district of the Monbuttu. Some seven days' journey (about 60 kilometres) south of this place he reached a large river named the Nepoko, which the traveller identified with Stanley's Aruwimi, one of the main northern tributaries of the Congo in the middle course of the latter.

DR. POGGE has sent a report from the Mukenge station on the Lulua regarding his return journey from Nyangwe, showing that this was not quite as peaceable as the journey to Nyangwe, and that he had frequently to defend himself seriously against the enmity of the natives. From the Lualaba to the Lomani, Dr. Pogge travelled by the same route as he had previously come with Lieut. Wissmann

ONE of the most recent additions to the "Bibliothèque d'Aventures et de Voyages" published by Dreyfous of Paris is a volume containing the letters and journals of La Perouse during his famous voyage round the world in 1785–88, which ended in the disappearance of the circumnavigator among the islands of the South Pacific. The volume is annotated by M. George Mantoux, who also supplies a prefatory memoir of the great sailor.

"IM Reiche des Æolus" is the title of a little book by Adolf von Pereira, published by Hartleben of Vienna, and containing reminiscences of a tour the author undertook to the Lipari Isles. It is profusely illustrated and contains a map.

AUSTRIAN papers report that a mountain in the neighbourhood of Czernowitz, in the Bukovina, is manifesting singular symptoms of disturbance. The ground around its base, to the extent of over 1000 fathoms, has opened out in wide and deep chasms. Most of the houses of a village on the spot (Kuczumare) have fallen down.

THE Thuringian Geographical Society met at Jena on the 17th inst., when Prof. Hæckel read a paper on the flora of Ceylon, and Herr G. Kurze one on the outposts of European civilisation on the way from Zanzibar to Lake Tanganyika.

THE SPECTRUM OF THE AURORA

IN view of the increased frequency of auroras, an inquiry into the present position of our knowledge as to their spectra has seemed to me desirable.

The accompanying table gives in wave-lengths all the observations I could find of the position of the bright lines of the auroral spectrum. J. R. Capron's "Auroræ and their Spectra," goes more fully into the subject than any other work I know, and therefore many of the positions are taken from it, being found on the page or plate indicated in the column headed "Page, &c." The authorities for other observations are given in the notes, but in other cases again I cannot now state whence I obtained them.

They are arranged approximately in order of accuracy,[1] but this is manifestly a very difficult matter to decide: if, as is very likely, I have made mistakes in this respect, I hope I shall be excused. I have gone very carefully into the matter, judging of the accuracy of the observations partly by their internal evidence, and partly by the weights which are in some cases attached to them by the observers themselves. The observers' probable errors are given in the table after the positions of the lines. I consider J. R. Capron has attributed too much accuracy to most of the observations of the auroral spectrum that have hitherto been made; certainly he has to mine. Nearly all the observers have measured the principal line; and, as its position is very well known, the measurements of it are to a considerable extent a guide to the amount of dependence that may be placed on the rest. Of course it may happen to be measured correctly by accident, while the rest are incorrect; but, on the other hand, if it is incorrectly measured, it is not likely that the rest will be correct. It is, therefore, very desirable that observers should measure this line at the same time as they measure any of the others; not necessarily in order to ascertain its position, but as an indication of the correctness of the rest; although it does not always happen that all the lines are by any means equally accurate.

The most probable positions of the lines, given at the foot of the table, are derived from the most accurate of the observations of each. Below are indicated the observations which have been used in the calculation in each case, with the weight given to each; for I have not taken the simple average of those used, but have given higher weights to those that seemed the best. The "Probable Error," as given below the "Probable Average," is partly calculated and partly estimated; it seems rather large; perhaps it should not really be so large.

My second series of observations (No. 18 in the table) are not absolute measurements, but only comparisons with α and γ. I have therefore not used them in the calculation of the general averages. This series is most likely affected by constant errors much larger than the probable errors given in the table from calculation. It seems rather curious that the actual errors of my first series (No. 17) are nearly all so much greater than the probable errors; and possibly the same thing may occur in some other cases.

E. B. Kirk's observation (No. 28) (though a very rough one as regards position) is one of the most striking of all; and, being unique, confirmation of it is very desirable. It will be described under the different lines, &c., concerned.

Where I have attached to an observation a Greek letter with a note of interrogation, it means that it is uncertain whether the

[1] But the observations of each observer are placed together, however unequal in accuracy they may be.