"unlucky paper" should be openly exposed, than that I should be "dammed with faint praise."

Hull, April 8

C. STANILAND WAKE

The Aurora of February 4

THE Scottish Meteorological Society has just received the schedules of its observers in Iceland and Farö for February last. At Stykkisholm, on the north-west of Iceland, auroras were seen on each of the nights of the 3rd, 4th, and 5th, and at Thorshavn an aurora of a remarkably red colour was observed in the S.E. and S. in the evening of the 4th. It was also observed at North Uist, Shetland, of a very red colour, and over all the S.E. of the sky; at Monach, the most western island of the Hebrides, and at nearly all the 150 stations which report to the Society, appearing at some places as early as 5 P.M., and continuing visible at others till half-past one on the morning of the 5th. Major Stuart, the Society's observer at Janina, Greece, also reports an aurora on the 4th from 6.30 P.M. to midnight.

On the evening of the 4th much thunder and lightning occurred in Monach, South Uist, Skye, and others of the Western Isles, and on the mainland of Scotland adjacent, even as far inland as

Corrimony, fifteen miles west of Loch Ness.

The weather preceding and following this aurora was very rearkable. At Stykkisholm, 22° 43′ W. long., the mean height of the barometer from the 30th of January to the 5th of February was only 28'798 inches, and the wind N.E. throughout, except on one of the days, when it was E. At this same place a storm of wind, with snow showers, began at I A.M. of the 30th of January, and continued without intermission for 102 hours, or till 7 A.M. of the 3rd, on which day and on the 4th the weather was fine and seasonable and the wind light.

At Monach, 7°34' W. long., a storm of wind began at 6 A.M. of January 30 and continued to blow from W.S.W., S.W., and S. till 2.30 A.M. of February 5, having thus lasted about 140

On the west of Scotland and the Western Isles, a heavy storm of wind from S. or S.W. was blowing during the evening of the 4th, the sky being generally clear, and the aurora, consequently, well seen. But at some places the sky presented a strange lurid appearance, as the aurora appeared through the opening clouds as they drifted past. Shortly after the disappearance of the aurora, the wind modera ed and fine weather followed.

But in the east of Scotland the storm from the south, accompanied with drizzle and mist, did not break out till the morning of the 5 h, or some time after the aurora had disappeared. It was to have been expected that an aurora extending over so much of the earth's surface would be preceded, accompanied, and followed by very different weather in different regions; and we have seen it coming thirty-six hours after a protracted period of stormy weather in Iceland, closing an equally protracted period of stormy weather in West Hebrides, and preceding a storm of wind and rain in the east of Scotland.

ALEXANDER BUCHAN

Scottish Meteorological Society, Edinburgh, April 8

HAVING seen an account of the aurora borealis which was visible in England on the night of February 4, I think that you or some of your scientific friends might like to know that a very brilliant display of aurora was visible here and in other parts of the West Indies on the same night.

On the night of February 4, I was going from Porto Rico to Puerto Plata in, roughly speaking, lat. 19° N., long. 48° W. The aurora was first seen at 8.30 P.M., was most brilliant at 10 P.M., and gradually died away by midnight; the corresponding times at Greenwich would have been I A.M., 2.30 A.M., and 4.30 A.M., February 5.

I have several times seen auroras off the Western Islands, but only remember having seen one several years ago in the West

Indies.

There were no pillars or points of light in this aurora, but a bright flush in the northern sky, which surged up and died away again every now and then, and was brightest about 10 P.M. STEPHEN DIX

H.M.S. Mersey, St. Thomas, March 14

THE aurora of February 4 was visible at this point, but seems to have been unobserved, except by a very few. My position

was on the deck of a steamboat on the river going from this point to one 23 miles miles higher up. The aurora was first noticed by me at about 7 P.M., hanging over the woods to the north-east, and was mistaken by the Captain for a large fire, a common occurrence in our pine forests. Soon after, the glow, which was a very deep red, extended to the zenith, shading off there, whilst a much fainter red light appeared in the north-

My last observation was made at 8.30 P.M., and the light was then still very strong in the north east. Being then upon a train, and passing through an unbroken pine forest, I could not note

There was no aurora whatever to the south at any time visible from at least sunset to 8.30 P.M. The facilities for observing the sky in that direction were peculiarly favourable from the position upon the river. F. G. BROMKERG

Mobile, Alabama, U.S.A, March 23

On the Colour of a Hydrogen Flame

A CORRESPONDENT to your last number has troubled himself to propound an elaborate theory, to account for the blue tinge which he states is always exhibited by the flame of hydrogen. There are also several text-books on chemistry which assert that hydrogen burns with a characteristic faint blue flame. It is easy to prove, however, that the slame of pure hydrogen has no blue tinge whatever. The blueness so frequently associated with the flame of hydrogen is really due to the presence of sulphur, as is shown in a little paper I published in the *Philosophical Magazine* for November 1865.* It is possible that the facts mentioned in that paper may be turned to a practical end by some of your readers, and therefore it may not be altogether useless if I put down-for such disposal as you deem proper-one or two interesting phenomena associated with the combustion of hydrogen.

There must I imagine be some people who write text-books on experimental science without having verified any of the facts Otherwise one cannot account for some obvious errors which are propagated from one writer to another. The blueness of a hydrogen flame is one such error, and another still more giaring can be traced back through several high authorities. The fact is stated that a rod of iron, or a sewing needle, remains suspended in the centre of a helix of wire through which an electric current is passing. So long as the helix is animated by the current the iron is said to behave like Mahomet's coffin, and hang in the air without the least contact with any solid body, But this is not the case, however strong the current, or small the iron, or however the helix may be disposed.

More serious errors than these are to be met with in some of the little books on science for school use, that are now cropping Heads of schools cannot exercise too much up like mushrooms. caution in the introduction of text-books on science, for they know how a poor class book once in a school is a most difficult thing to eject. It is therefore impossible to over-estimate the value of books for boys written by men like Profs. Huxley, Roscoe, and Balfour Stewart. An extraordinary impulse to scientific teaching has been given by the manuals of these and other eminent authors, and of the gladness with which such books are received by elder boys I, like others, can testify.

And now, as a teacher, permit me, Sir, to tender to the same authors not only my own gratitude, but the genuine and hearty thanks of younger boys for their simply delightful Science Primers. W. F. BARRETT

International College, Spring Grove, W.

[We hope to give in our next number a summary of the experiments to which our correspondent alludes.—Ed.]

Barometric Depressions

I HAVE only just seen Mr. Murphy's criticism on my paper, which appeared in your columns on the 21st ult. I intended that paper as a continuation of one which appeared last year. The former aimed at showing that the ordinary variations of the barometer could not be explained by aqueous vapour; the latter at proving that they were accounted for by the heating and cooling of dry air. Into this question of air versus vapour the earth's rotation did not enter, and I consequently took no account of it

* A year or two ago I was surprised and amused to read this investigation repeated in the pages of the Comptes Rendus. I forget the name of the French chemist who contributed it to the Academy, but he was doubtless unaware of anything I had written on the subject.